

(Fal estuary copepods)

Sediment copepod assemblages (and other fauna) from five creeks of the Fal estuary, SW England, were analysed by Somerfield PJ, Gee JM, Warwick RM 1994, *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 105: 79-88. The sediments of this estuary are characterised by high and varying concentrations of heavy metals, a result of tin and copper mining over hundreds of years. The copepod data consist of 23 species found in 27 samples, consisting of 5 replicate cores spanning each creek (Mylor: M1-M5; Pill: P1-P5; St Just: J1-J5; Percuil: E1-E5; and 7 from the largest creek, Restronguet: R1-R7). These are in directory C:\Examples v7\Fal benthic fauna, worksheet **Fal copepod counts**(.pri), with a factor Creek identifying samples from the 5 creeks. There are also environmental cores (of silt/clay ratios, heavy metals etc) matching these 27 sample locations, held in an Excel file **Fal environment**(.xls), plus nematode densities, macrofaunal counts and biomass, and associated aggregation files.

File>Open the copepod data and take **Pre-treatment>Dispersion weighting>**(Factor: **Creek**) & (✓Test of dispersion index) & (Num perms: **1000**) & (✓Stats to worksheet). The **Data1** sheet gives the dispersion weighted counts, which are either ready to go into the **Analyse>Resemblance** step of the next section, or could be mildly transformed before they do so, as shown earlier with **Pre-treatment>Transform(overall)>**(Transformation: **Square root**). There seems little need for the latter, however, since the dispersion weighting has already succeeded in downweighting the larger, erratic counts coming from *P. littoralis*, *R. celtica*, *E. gariene* and *T. discipes* and the somewhat less erratic *P. curticorne* and *M. falla* – the matrix **Data1** now has no dispersion-weighted ‘counts’ in double figures, and the subsequent untransformed analysis will not be dominated by a small set of species. In three columns, **Data2** gives: the mean dispersion indices \overline{D} for each species; the evidence for clumping (i.e. the % significance level for a test of $\overline{D} = 1$); and the actual divisor used for that species row, which is 1 if the test does not reject this hypothesis at 5% (or better). Thus, *T. discipes* values are divided by 13.67 but *Brianola sp.* remains unchanged, though $\overline{D} = 1.5$. You might now like to run the routine again for the **Fal nematode abundance** file, which inspection shows must be numbers scaled up to a density, not real counts (e.g. there are no entries of 1!). The tick box for the test must be unchecked, the resulting \overline{D} values are all $\gg 1$, but weighting by \overline{D} is still justifiable.

PRIMER 7

Factors

Edit Fill

Add... Label Creek

Combine... R1 R

Rename... R2 R

Reorder... R3 R

R4 R

R5 R

R6 R

Dispersion Weighting

Factor: Creek

☒ Test of dispersion index

Num perms: 1000

☒ Stats to worksheet

OK Cancel

Data1

Fal estuary copepods
Abundance

	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	M1
Brianola sp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pseudobradya	1.694	1.223	0.470	1.129	0.188	1.035	0.188	1.788
Pseudobradya	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Halectinosoma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tachidius disci	0.877	0	0.146	0.146	0	0.804	0.073	0.438
Microarthridior	0.174	0.523	1.745	0.872	0.872	4.014	0.610	9.598
Harpacticus fle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stenhelia palus	0.313	0.469	2.974	2.974	2.817	5.948	0.156	0.469
Stenhelia elizab	0	0	0	0	0.167	0.503	0.167	0.167
Amphiascoides	0.429	3.218	0.858	0.214	0	0.214	0	0.214
Robertsonia ce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.201

Data2

Index of Dispersion (D) Coefficients
Other

	D	Sig%	Divisor
Brianola sp.	1.5	21.9	1
Pseudobradya	10.622	2.5863E-35	10.622
Pseudobradya	4.4365	6.7057E-07	4.4365
Halectinosoma	7.3333	0	7.3333
Tachidius disci	13.67	8.6698E-49	13.67
Microarthridior	11.46	5.4319E-39	11.46
Harpacticus fle	1.5	23	1
Stenhelia palus	6.3882	2.854E-17	6.3882
Stenhelia elizab	5.9618	0	5.9618
Amphiascoides	4.661	2.3127E-10	4.661
Robertsonia ce	19.853	5.6033E-56	19.853

Pre-treatment

Transform(overall)

Transform(individual)

Standardise...

Cumulate Samples

Weight Variables...

Dispersion Weighting...

Variability Weighting...

Normalise Variables

Workspace

Fal copepod counts

Fal estuary copepods
Abundance

	R1	M1
Brianola sp.	0	0
Pseudobradya	18	19
Pseudobradya	0	0
Halectinosoma	0	0
Tachidius disci	12	6
Microarthridior	2	110
Harpacticus fle	0	0
Stenhelia palus	2	3
Stenhelia elizab	0	1
Amphiascoides	2	1
Robertsonia ce	0	4

Revision #2

Created 22 May 2024 00:07:35 by Arden

Updated 22 May 2024 00:55:04 by Arden