

# Analysing between variables

The introduction above of the concept of ‘distances’ among species raises the issue of how best to compute *species similarities* – or more generally *variable associations* – taking the menu option of **Analyse>Resemblance>(Analyse between•Variables)**. Several significant new developments in PRIMER 7 (see Section 10 and Chapter 7 of CiMC) on *shade plots* and *coherent species curves* concern better display and analysis techniques for characterising responses of individual (or groups of) species across the samples in space, time or over a changing environment. Two species are considered perfectly similar if they co-occur across samples – with numbers or biomass in strict proportion, for quantitative data. As with sample similarities, the issue of how to treat joint absence is often relevant here too – it would often be appropriate to regard the absence of two species at a particular site as uninformative (a clay-living and a gravel-living species are not similar because neither are found at sandy sites). A measure which captures this biological constraint well is the Whittaker Index of Association (IA), met earlier in this section. As remarked then, this will give the same outcome as standardising species (by total) and applying Bray-Curtis on the species. The (implicit) standardisation however will come unstuck with all-blank species, which must certainly be removed, and it is also almost always a good idea to remove all the ‘occasional’ species, rarely observed and with low abundances when they do occur. The various options for reducing to the ‘most important’ species were covered at the end of Section 3, and for standardising species near the start of Section 4; these options would not usually apply when calculating sample similarities, but are important to eliminate wildly erratic, and not meaningful, similarities among rare species.

On the **Groundfish density** matrix, **Select>Variables>(•In at least n samples where n is: 10)**. To see how many species are retained (61, in fact), click on the sheet’s final row which displays this in the status bar at the foot of the PRIMER desktop. Take **Analyse>Resemblance>(Measure•Index of association) & (Analyse between•Variables)** to create the species similarities (*Resem4* perhaps). Show that the same outcome is produced (*Resem5*) by putting the selected species from **Groundfish density** through **Pre-treatment>Standardise>(Standardise•Variables) & (By•Total)**, followed by **Analyse>Resemblance>(Measure•Bray-Curtis similarity) & (Analyse between•Variables)**.

Select Variables

☐ Variable numbers

☐ Indicator levels

Indicator name:  Levels...

☐ Use n-most important where n is

☐ Use those that contribute at least  %

☒ In at least n samples where n is

Select

All

Highlighted

Samples...

Variables

Resemblance

Measure

☐ Bray-Curtis similarity

☐ Euclidean distance

☒ Index of association

☐ Other

☒ Similarity ☒ Distance/dissimilarity

☐ Quantitative ☐ P/A

☐ Correlation ☐ Taxonomic P/A

(exc0-0 = ex

S1 Simple mat

Analyse

Resemblance

CASWELL...

DIVERSE...

DOMDIS...

PCA...

SIMPER...

SIMPROF...

Summary St

PRIMER 7

File Edit Select View Wizards P

Groundfish ws

Groundfish density

Resemblance1

Resem1

Resemblance3

Resem3

Groundfish taxonomy

Variable similarity1

Resem2

CLUSTER1

Graph1

Groundfish density

Groundfish NW Eu

Abundance

Samples

S1 S2 S3 S4

Taurulus bubalis 0 0 0 0

Agonus cataphract 4.6667 10.143 0 171.33

Cyclopterus lumpus 0 0 0 0

Zeus faber 0 0 0 0

Variables

Resem4

Groundfish NW European shelf

Similarity (0 to 100)

Variables

Raja nae Raja und Raja clav Raja micr

Raja naevus

Raja undulata 0

Raja clavata 5.8475 5.8114

Raja microocell 1.9481 9.4202 8.1165

Raja brachyura 17.892 10.278 21.361 22.191

Row 61 Col 1

Revision #6

Created 11 June 2024 21:36:58 by Arden

Updated 21 January 2025 21:34:35 by Abby Miller