

# (Bermuda macrofauna ); Caswell's neutral model

Soft-sediment macrofaunal assemblages (along with meiofauna and biomarker suites) were studied at 6 sites in Hamilton Harbour, Bermuda (labelled H2, H3, H4, H5, H6, H7) during an international IOC workshop on the effects of pollutants in sub-tropical waters (Addison RF & Clarke KR, eds 1990, *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 138). There were 4 replicates at each site, giving a data matrix of 24 samples from 64 species, in the data file **Bermuda macrofauna counts** in directory C:\Examples v7\ Bermuda benthos. These data will be used to illustrate computation of another diversity index, not now widely used (the validity of its assumptions being questionable for most assemblages) but which has been available in PRIMER from early versions and therefore retained for consistency.

**Analyse>CASWELL** generates  $V$  statistics for the Caswell neutral model, and is discussed in Chapter 8 of CiMC. It is essentially a comparison of Shannon diversity  $H'^{\prime}$  with the value it would be expected to take, conditional on the observed number of species  $S$  and individuals  $N$ , under some simple model assembly rules for the community, which are *ecologically neutral*, in the sense defined by Caswell H 1976, *Ecol Monogr* 46: 327-354. The normalised form of  $H'^{\prime}$  (subtract the modelled mean and divide by the modelled standard deviation) is the  $V$  statistic, positive values of  $V$  implying greater diversity than neutrality and negative values lesser. (There is an F test of its departure from  $V = 0$ , though this is not very convincing because it also depends on the neutral model assumptions, which are unrealistic for typical assemblages). The algorithm implemented here is due to Goldman N & Lamshead PJD 1989, *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 50: 255-261.

Recreate the Caswell example in Chapter 8 of CiMC, for the **Bermuda macrofauna counts** by firstly summing across the replicates, to increase the sample size, with **Tools>Sum>**(Samples•Sums for factor: **site**) & (Variables•No summing). This is justified because there is equal replication at each site – **Tools>Average** would not be appropriate for a Caswell calculation because the entries are no longer real (integer) counts. Note that  $V$  could alternatively be calculated for each replicate, as for the diversity measures above, and this would allow standard means and confidence intervals based on variance estimates from replication, rather than the (less robust) internal variance estimate from the neutral model. On the summed **Data1** take **Analyse>CASWELL>**(✓ Results to worksheet), and the  $V$  values for each site (and the accompanying test calculations) are found in the resulting **Data2** sheet, which can be manipulated, saved etc. as with any other data matrix. Sites H3 and H4 are seen to have  $H'^{\prime}$  well below expectation under the neutral model ( $V$  statistics of -5.4, -4.5 respectively). Close the workspace – it will not be needed again.

**Tools**

- Aggregate...
- Average...
- Check...
- Duplicate
- Expand Samples...
- Missing...
- Merge...
- Rank Variables
- Sum...**
- Transpose

**SUM**

Samples

☐ No summing

☒ Sums for factor:

site

Variables

☒ No summing

☐ Sums for indicator:

**Data1**

*Hamilton Harbour macrofauna counts*

*Abundance*

	H2R1	H2R2	H2R3
Cossura soyeri	10	13	
Loimia viridis	5	1	
Capitellidae	3	6	2
Eurythoe sp.	1	1	
Marphysa sp.	0	1	
Aricidea sp.	0	1	

**Data2**

*Bermuda macrofauna: Caswell's neutral model V*

*Other*

	N	S	H'	E[H']	SD[H']	V(N.D.)	F-ratio	DF1	DF2
H2	212	20	2.3646	2.2705	0.1990	0.4727	1.196	61.4	19.6
H3	695	21	0.7210	2.0708	0.2485	-5.4295	0.145	43.0	20.2
H4	963	27	1.2475	2.2849	0.2332	-4.4472	0.269	57.4	25.4
H5	1836	45	2.3664	2.7355	0.1991	-1.8537	0.643	104.	41.0
H6	72	10	1.4706	1.7349	0.2082	-1.2694	0.578	32.7	10.7
H7	87	15	2.1278	2.1579	0.1755	-0.1713	0.934	59.8	15.2

**CASWELL**

☒ Results to worksheet

OK Cancel Help

Revision #3

Created 31 October 2024 21:24:55 by Arden

Updated 26 February 2025 00:54:42 by Abby Miller