


Shepard diagrams

That the stress is low here is also evident from the Shepard diagrams for the 2-d and 3-d solutions (possibly [Graph4](#) & [Graph6](#)). They are scatter plots of distances between samples in the ordination against original (dis)similarities, in which the deviations of the points (blue) from the best-fitting monotonic increasing regression line (black) are seen to be very low. When all points lie on the line, all statements of the following form are true: 'site 5 is closer to site 10 in the ordination than site 14 is to site 6 because the dissimilarity between sites 5 and 10 is smaller than that between 14 and 6', i.e. rank order relationships are exactly preserved and stress is zero. Note the regression does not need to be linear (through the origin) as in *mMDS*, and it is certainly not so here: sites 14 and 6 are twice as dissimilar ($\sim 90\%$) as sites 5 and 10 are ($\sim 45\%$) but they are not placed twice as far apart in the plot (as *mMDS* would try to force). This capacity to shrink/stretch the dissimilarity scale in conversion to a distance is what gives *nMDS* its flexibility.



Revision #1

Created 26 June 2024 21:57:52 by Arden

Updated 26 June 2024 22:02:33 by Arden